BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
In the Matter of
) MUR 5504
John Karoly, Jr)
Karoly Law Offices, P C)
Jayann Brantley) Heather Kovacs)
Christina Ligotti)
Citiothia Ligoth
GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT #4
I. <u>ACTIONS RECOMMENDED</u> :
Accept the attached conciliation agreement with John Karoly, Jr , Karoly Law
Officer D.C. Journe Denntley, Heather Voyage and Chapters I roots, and close the file of
Offices, P C, Jayann Brantley, Heather Kovacs and Christina Ligotti, and close the file as
to all Respondents
II. <u>DISCUSSION</u>
Attached is a proposed conciliation agreement for John Karoly, Jr, Karoly Law
Offices D.C. James Develop Heather Versey and Chapters Leastly which has been granted
Offices P C, Jayann Brantley, Heather Kovacs and Christma Ligotti, which has been signed
by John Karoly, Jr on his and the law firm's behalf and by counsel for Brantley, Kovacs and
Ligotti The agreement would settle the Commission's probable cause findings as to John
Karoly, Jr's and Karoly Law Offices' violations of 2 USC § 441b(a) and 441f in connection
with reimbursements to Jayann Brantley, Heather Kovacs and Christina Ligotti for their
contributions to the Gephardt presidential campaign with funds from Karoly Law Offices, and
Continuation in the Column hiesing in the campaign with turns from Antoly Law Offices, and
as to Jayann Brantley's, Heather Kovacs' and Christina Ligotti's violations of 2 U S C § 441f

MUR 5504 (John Karoly, Jr, et al) General Counsel's Report #4 Page 2 of 5

for permitting their names to be used to make conti	ributions in the name of another 1
	
	

On June 8, 2009, a federal district court judge in California dismissed criminal charges that Pierce O'Donnell violated section 441f by reimbursing conduit contributions to the 2004 presidential campaign of Sen John Edwards, ruling in part that Congress did not intend that provision to outlaw indirect contributions made through conduits $US \times O'Donnell$, CD Cal, Criminal No 08-872. The legal conclusion in the court's Order (which is subject to a possible motion for reconsideration and Ninth Circuit appeal) rests on a misunderstanding of the applicable law and is inconsistent with authority in various other federal circuits including the Third Circuit, where respondents are located. See Mariani v. United States, 212 F 3d 761 (3rd Cir. 2000). In Mariani, the Third Circuit recognized that section 441f prohibits making contributions through conduits.

MUR 5504 (John Karoly, Jr , et al) General Counsel's Report #4 Page 3 of 5

	I
	Ļ
•	7
	<u> </u>
	1
	
	٦
	<u>'</u>
 	
	

		refore, we recomm	
L			
			etes
			
			
			<u></u>
			

30 31

III. **RECOMMENDATIONS** 1 1 Accept the attached signed conciliation agreement with John Karoly Jr, 2 Karoly Law Offices, P.C., Jayann Brantley, Heather Kovacs and Christina 3 Ligotti 2 Approve the appropriate letters 6 7 8 3 Close the file as to all Respondents 9 10 Thomasenia P Duncan 11 General Counsel 12 13 14 (a/15/09 Date 15 By Ann Marie Terzaken 16 Associate General Counsel for 17 18 Enforcement 19 20 21 22 23 **Assistant General Counsel** 24 25 26 27 28 Attorney 29